	Case 3:07-cv-04083-MMC Documer	nt 32	Filed 07/	16/2008	Page 1 of 3
1 2 3 4 5 6	Dennis D. Miller (SBN 138669) Eugene K. Chang (SBN 209568) STEIN & LUBIN LLP 600 Montgomery Street, 14th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 981-0550 Facsimile: (415) 981-4343 dmiller@steinlubin.com echang@steinlubin.com Attorneys for Defendants DAVID J. KILLIAN, ANTHONY M. MA and ROSA COURT, LLC	ARO]	ГТА,		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11					
12	VINCENT KAMYAR VAGHAR,		Case No. (C 07 4083 N	ИМС
13	Plaintiff,				
14	v.		DEFENDA ANTHON	ANTS DAV	TID J. KILLIAN, ROTTA AND ROSA
15	DAVID J. KILLIAN; ANTHONY M. MAROTTA; and ROSA COURT, LLC, a New Jersey limited liability company, Defendants.		COURT, LLC'S EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF VINCENT KAMYAR VAGHAR OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO TRANSFER VENUE		
16					
17 18					
19			[LOCAL I	_	
20			Date: Time:	July 18, 2 9:00 a.m.	
21			Dept.: Judge:	Courtroom Honorabl	e Maxine M. Chesney
22					
23	TO THE HONORABLE MAXINE M. CHESNEY:				
24	Defendants David J. Killian, Anthony M. Marotta and Rosa Court, LLC				
25	(collectively, "Defendants") hereby submit their ex parte application (the "Application") to				
26	continue Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint of Plaintiff Vincent				
27	Kamyar Vaghar or, in the Alternative, to Transfer Venue (the "Motion") presently set for hearing				
28	on July 18, 2008 at 9:00 a.m. as follows:				
	65040002/374126v1 DEFENDANTS' APPLIC	CATIO	1 N TO CONTINU	F HEARING	Case No. C 07 4083 MMC

1 1. The above action (the "Action") was commenced on August 8, 2007 by the 2 filing of the Complaint for Breach of Contract and Demand for Jury Trial by Plaintiff Vincent 3 Kamyar Vaghar ("Vaghar"). 4 2. On or about September 5, 2007, Vaghar filed his Second Amended 5 Complaint for Breach of Contract – Demand for Jury Trial. 6 3. On October 5, 2007, Defendants filed their Motion which was initially set 7 for hearing on November 16, 2007 at 9:00 a.m. in the above Court. Vaghar has filed his 8 opposition to the Motion and Defendants have filed their reply pleadings. 9 4. On November 14, 2007, the Court approved the Stipulation and Order to 10 Stay Initial Disclosures and Case Management Conference, which included, in part, the order that 11 Defendants' Motion was vacated pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b). 12 5. On July 3, 2008, the Court entered the order setting Defendants' Motion 13 for hearing on July 18, 2008 at 9:00 a.m. 14 Vaghar and Defendants are presently in settlement negotiations and expect 6. 15 that they will be able to settle the Action within the next two weeks. 16 7. Counsel for Vaghar and Defendants met and conferred on Defendants' 17 filing of the Application on July 16, 2008 in several telephone conversations. Plaintiff did not 18 indicate any opposition to the Application, but did not have the authority to execute a stipulation 19 to continue the hearing on the Motion. 20 8. Defendants contend that no prejudice will result in a short continuation of 21 the hearing on the Motion and will provide the parties with sufficient time to address their 22 ongoing settlement discussions. 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 ///

28 /// 65040002/374126v1

///

27